



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/634,352	09/634,352 08/09/2000		Yanxiang Cao	03848-00029	5730
28315	7590	01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	& WITCOFF 'S FOR AFFY	•	KIM, YOUNG J		
1001 G STR		IWILIKIX		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ELEVENTH			1637		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20	001-4597	DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•					
Advisory Action	09/634,352	CAO ET AL.						
navicory neutrin	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Young J. Kim	1637						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 14 December 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	y to a tion in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the fee to the first period of the company	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mailing and the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mailing area.	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or					
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be								
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require furthe	•	ee NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	} .					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	• •		. 1					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the					
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly					
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 			nd an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·						
0. Other:								

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the Final Office Action, all rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) were properly maintained as the claims embraced generic amplification process, wherein the arguments made by Applicants were not specifically recited in the claims. In response, Applicants amended the claims to linear amplification process, which would require further consideration and search to determine whether the now amended process of linear amplification process would be found obvious over the prior art not previously cited. While Applicants contend that the instant amendment would not raise new issues requiring further search (page 9, 3rd paragraph), it is not persuasive because MPEP 714.13 states that Applicants cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, except when an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some way requires only cursory review by the examiner. Applicants have neither adopted the examiner's suggestions nor removed issues for appeal and the amendment requires more than a "cursory review." Therefore, the present amendment will not be entered.

YOUNG J. KIM "PATENT EXAMIN**E**R KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/5/09